



ICLG

The International Comparative Legal Guide to:

Lending & Secured Finance 2017

5th Edition

A practical cross-border insight into lending and secured finance

Published by Global Legal Group, with contributions from:

Advokatfirma Ræder DA
Ali Budiardjo, Nugroho, Reksodiputro
Allen & Overy LLP
Anderson Mori & Tomotsune
Asia Pacific Loan Market Association
Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP
Carey
Chadbourne & Parke LLP
Chiomenti
Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP
Crales & Urcullo
CUATRECASAS
Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP
Debevoise & Plimpton LLP
Drew & Napier LLC
E & G Economides LLC
Estudio Saco-Vertiz & Landerer
Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP
Gonzalez Calvillo, S.C.

HSA Advocates
Holland & Knight LLP
HSBC
IKT & associates
K&L Gates LLP
Khan Corporate Law
King & Spalding LLP
King & Wood Mallesons
KPP Law Firm
Lakatos, Köves and Partners
Latham & Watkins LLP
Lee & Ko
Lee and Li, Attorneys-at-Law
Loan Market Association
Maples and Calder
Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal
McMillan LLP
Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP
Montel&Manciet Advocats

Moore & Van Allen, PLLC
Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP
Morrison & Foerster LLP
Nielsen Nørager Law Firm LLP
Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP
Pestalozzi Attorneys at Law Ltd.
Pinheiro Neto Advogados
Proskauer Rose LLP
Rodner, Martínez & Asociados
Shearman & Sterling LLP
Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP
The Loan Syndications and Trading Association
White & Case LLP



LSTA

glg
global legal group



Contributing Editor

Thomas Mellor, Morgan,
Lewis & Bockius LLP

Sales Director

Florjan Osmani

Account Director

Oliver Smith

Sales Support Manager

Paul Mochalski

Editor

Sam Friend

Senior Editors

Suzie Levy, Rachel Williams

Chief Operating Officer

Dror Levy

Group Consulting Editor

Alan Falach

Publisher

Rory Smith

Published by

Global Legal Group Ltd.
59 Tanner Street
London SE1 3PL, UK
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720
Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk
URL: www.glgroup.co.uk

GLG Cover Design

F&F Studio Design

GLG Cover Image Source

iStockphoto

Printed by

Stephens & George
Print Group
April 2017

Copyright © 2017

Global Legal Group Ltd.
All rights reserved
No photocopying

ISBN 978-1-911367-46-8

ISSN 2050-9847

Strategic Partners



Editorial Chapters:

1	Loan Syndications and Trading: An Overview of the Syndicated Loan Market – Bridget Marsh & Ted Basta, The Loan Syndications and Trading Association	1
2	Loan Market Association – An Overview – Nigel Houghton, Loan Market Association	7
3	Asia Pacific Loan Market Association – An Overview – Janet Field & Katy Chan, Asia Pacific Loan Market Association	12

General Chapters:

4	An Introduction to Legal Risk and Structuring Cross-Border Lending Transactions – Thomas Mellor & Marcus Marsh, Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP	15
5	Global Trends in the Leveraged Loan Market in 2016 – Joshua W. Thompson & Caroline Leeds Ruby, Shearman & Sterling LLP	20
6	Escrow Funding in the Term Loan B Market – Meyer C. Dworkin & Samantha Hait, Davis Polk & Wardwell LLP	26
7	Commercial Lending in a Changing Global Regulatory Environment: 2017 and Beyond – Bill Satchell & Elizabeth Leckie, Allen & Overy LLP	30
8	Acquisition Financing in the United States: 2017... Uncertainty! – Geoffrey R. Peck & Mark S. Wojciechowski, Morrison & Foerster LLP	33
9	A Comparative Overview of Transatlantic Intercreditor Agreements – Lauren Hanrahan & Suhud Mehta, Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy LLP	39
10	A Comparison of Key Provisions in U.S. and European Leveraged Loan Agreements – Sarah M. Ward & Mark L. Darley, Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP	46
11	The Global Subscription Credit Facility and Fund Finance Markets – Key Trends and Forecasts – Michael C. Mascia & Wesley A. Misson, Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft LLP	56
12	Recent Developments in U.S. Term Loan B – Denise Ryan & David Almroth, Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer LLP	59
13	The Growth of European Covenant Lite – James Chesterman & Jane Summers, Latham & Watkins LLP	65
14	Yankee Loans – What You Need to Know – Alan Rockwell & Denise Gibson, Allen & Overy LLP	68
15	Debt Retirement in Leveraged Financings – David A. Brittenham & Scott B. Selinger, Debevoise & Plimpton LLP	76
16	In re Motors Expands Future Claimants' Rights at Expense of 363 Purchasers – George E. Zobitz & Omid H. Nasab, Cravath, Swaine & Moore LLP	82
17	The Continuing Evolution of Middle Market Lending – Sandra Lee Montgomery, Proskauer Rose LLP	87
18	An In-house Legal Team's Views on the Roles and Responsibilities of External Deal Counsel on Lending Transactions – Clifton Prabhu & Charles Bronowski, HSBC	93
19	The Section 363 Sale Process: Key Considerations for the Prepetition Secured Lender – Zachary H. Smith, Moore & Van Allen, PLLC	97
20	Distributed Ledger Technology, The Internet of Things (IoT) and Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Analytics: The Future of Trade Finance is Rapidly Approaching – Josias Dewey, Holland & Knight LLP	102
21	Marketplace Lending – Vanessa Spiro & Edward Dartley, K&L Gates LLP	108
22	Overview of Sanctions Programs Affecting the Lending Market in the United States – Joseph F. Giannini & Adrienne Sebring, Chadbourne & Parke LLP	114

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

23	Andorra	Montel&Manciet Advocats: Audrey Montel Rossell & Liliana Ranaldi González	119
24	Argentina	Marval, O'Farrell & Mairal: Juan M. Diehl Moreno & Diego A. Chighizola	125
25	Australia	King & Wood Mallesons: Yuen-Yee Cho & Elizabeth Hundt Russell	134
26	Belgium	White & Case LLP: Hadrien Servais & Nathalie Colin	142
27	Bolivia	Crales & Urcullo: Andrea Mariah Urcullo Pereira & Daniel Mariaca Alvarez	149
28	Botswana	Khan Corporate Law: Shakila Khan	156

Continued Overleaf →

Further copies of this book and others in the series can be ordered from the publisher. Please call +44 20 7367 0720

Disclaimer

This publication is for general information purposes only. It does not purport to provide comprehensive full legal or other advice. Global Legal Group Ltd. and the contributors accept no responsibility for losses that may arise from reliance upon information contained in this publication. This publication is intended to give an indication of legal issues upon which you may need advice. Full legal advice should be taken from a qualified professional when dealing with specific situations.

Country Question and Answer Chapters:

29	Brazil	Pinheiro Neto Advogados: Ricardo Simões Russo & Leonardo Baptista Rodrigues Cruz	164
30	British Virgin Islands	Maples and Calder: Michael Gagie & Matthew Gilbert	172
31	Canada	McMillan LLP: Jeff Rogers & Don Waters	179
32	Cayman Islands	Maples and Calder: Tina Meigh	188
33	Chile	Carey: Diego Peralta	195
34	China	King & Wood Mallesons: Jack Wang & Stanley Zhou	202
35	Cyprus	E & G Economides LLC: Marinella Kilikitas & George Economides	209
36	Denmark	Nielsen Nørager Law Firm LLP: Thomas Melchior Fischer & Brian Jørgensen	217
37	England	Allen & Overy LLP: Darren Hanwell & Temi Esho	224
38	Finland	White & Case LLP: Tanja Törnkvist & Oona Lilja	233
39	France	Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP: Emmanuel Ringeval & Cristina Radu	240
40	Germany	King & Spalding LLP: Dr. Werner Meier & Dr. Axel J. Schilder	250
41	Greece	KPP Law Firm: George N. Kerameus & Ilianna Sotiria Koraki	262
42	Hong Kong	King & Wood Mallesons: Richard Mazzochi & David Lam	270
43	Hungary	Lakatos, Köves and Partners: Szabolcs Mestyán & Andrea Spisák	277
44	India	HSA Advocates: Anjan Dasgupta & Harsh Arora	285
45	Indonesia	Ali Budiardjo, Nugroho, Reksodiputro: Theodoor Bakker & Ayik Candrawulan Gunadi	295
46	Ireland	Maples and Calder: John Breslin & David Burke	303
47	Italy	Chiomenti: Giulia Battaglia & Gregorio Consoli	310
48	Ivory Coast	IKT & associates: Annick Imboua-Niava & Othier Henri Tella	319
49	Japan	Anderson Mori & Tomotsune: Taro Awataguchi & Yuki Kohmaru	325
50	Korea	Lee & Ko: Woo Young Jung & Yong-Jae Chang	333
51	Mexico	Gonzalez Calvillo, S.C.: José Ignacio Rivero Andere	341
52	Norway	Advokatfirma Ræder DA: Kyrre W. Kielland & Anne Christine Wettre	348
53	Peru	Estudio Saco-Vertiz & Landerer: Carlos Saco-Vertiz Tudela & Jaime Sabat Pancorvo	357
54	Russia	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP: Grigory Marinichev & Alexey Chertov	366
55	Singapore	Drew & Napier LLC: Valerie Kwok & Blossom Hing	373
56	South Africa	Allen & Overy LLP: Lionel Shawe & Lisa Botha	382
57	Spain	CUATRECASAS: Manuel Follía & María Lérida	391
58	Sweden	White & Case LLP: Carl Hugo Parment & Tobias Johansson	401
59	Switzerland	Pestalozzi Attorneys at Law Ltd.: Oliver Widmer & Urs Klöti	408
60	Taiwan	Lee and Li, Attorneys-at-Law: Hsin-Lan Hsu & Cyun-Ren Jhou	417
61	UAE	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP: Ayman A. Khaleq & Amanjit K. Fagura	425
62	USA	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP: Thomas Mellor & Rick Eisenbiegler	437
63	Venezuela	Rodner, Martínez & Asociados: Jaime Martínez Estévez	448

Cyprus

E & G Economides LLC

Marinella Kilikitas



George Economides



1 Overview

1.1 What are the main trends/significant developments in the lending markets in your jurisdiction?

Cyprus has come a long way since the collapse and virtual financial meltdown of its banking sector back in March 2013. The MoU between Cyprus and the Troika paved the way for the recovery of the Cypriot banking and financial system by focusing on certain key objectives, including the implementation of structural reforms aimed at enhancing competitiveness and sustainable and balanced growth.

Four years on, the measures have made a positive impact: deposits have stabilised and the record-high levels of non-performing loans (NPLs) (which still comprise 47% of total loans) are experiencing a slow, albeit marked, downturn (by way of illustration the total value of NPLs in the Cypriot banking system fell in December by almost €3.7bn in two years to €23.7bn, the lowest since December 2014). Furthermore, Cyprus is experiencing a gradual growth phase and forecasts estimate that the Cypriot economy will continue to expand by approximately 2.7% this year and maintain average annual growth rate at approximately 2.5% over the next three years.

In an attempt to enhance growth, the European Investment Bank (EIB) has partnered with ten local banks over the last two years to provide credit lines to small to medium-sized enterprises with investment needs of less than €25 million. To date the EIB has funded a total of €475 million of SME loans with approximately 50% of those funds already benefiting approximately 230 new private sector investments, mainly in the infrastructure and tourism sectors.

Although the outlook for Cyprus generally remains positive, there is still a long way to go, particularly given that the level of NPLs continue to remain extraordinarily high. Local banks have taken major steps in reducing inordinately high levels of NPLs on their balance sheets through various restructuring methods including the conversion of debt to equity (with optional share buy-back schemes), thus enabling the debtor to continue as a business whilst at the same time ensuring its long-term profitability (and consequently its ability to repay its debts).

1.2 What are some significant lending transactions that have taken place in your jurisdiction in recent years?

Increased availability of debt leverage deals has had a significant impact on transaction volumes. Generally, however, new lending remains at a low level.

2 Guarantees

2.1 Can a company guarantee borrowings of one or more other members of its corporate group (see below for questions relating to fraudulent transfer/financial assistance)?

Generally speaking, a Cypriot company can provide guarantees for the borrowings of one or more members of its group, if (i) there is commercial benefit in it doing so (whether direct or indirect), and (ii) it is permitted to do so under its constitutional documents.

By way of example, it may be argued that a parent company granting a downstream guarantee to its subsidiary to secure the latter's borrowing obligations towards a third party has commercial benefits not only for the wider group but also for the parent company itself; especially where, as a result of the giving of the guarantee, the subsidiary can sustain upward profitability, and in turn, the distribution of increased dividend payments to its parent.

2.2 Are there enforceability or other concerns (such as director liability) if only a disproportionately small (or no) benefit to the guaranteeing/securing company can be shown?

Directors (acting always as a board) owe certain duties to the company which derive from both statute and common law. Under common law, these fiduciary duties include the duty of the directors to exercise their powers in good faith for the purposes for which they were conferred, and to act in the best interests of the company as a whole; i.e. all the shareholders of the company as a general body and not in the interests of a named shareholder and/or shareholders.

In the absence of judicial guidance on the matter, it is not clear whether the absence (or insufficiency) of corporate benefit would render a guarantee void, and consequently a creditor's rights thereunder, unenforceable. Given this grey area, the directors of a company should be able to demonstrate that they have fully considered corporate benefit issues and relevant considerations will invariably include the likelihood of the guarantee being called (as against the benefit to be derived by the company entering into the guarantee) and, if so called, whether the company is able to meet its financial obligations thereunder and still remain solvent.

Notwithstanding the above, relief from directors' duties may be sought from the shareholders in a general meeting, provided there is no fraud on the minority. It is considered good practice to have in place a shareholders' resolution to ratify, confirm and approve any decision of the directors to approve the company in acting as

guarantor. Relief may also be sought under the Companies Laws of Cyprus, Cap. 113, as amended. The relevant statutory provision provides that in proceedings brought against a director for breach of duty, the relevant director may be absolved from liability, provided that he or she can prove that he or she acted honestly and reasonably, having regard to all the circumstances.

2.3 Is lack of corporate power an issue?

The memorandum and articles of association of a company should be carefully vetted in order to determine whether the granting of guarantees is within the company's objects. Even if no express power is granted, and provided they are not expressly prohibited, the objects may be so broadly drafted, so as to include the granting of guarantees as being ancillary to and in furtherance of the objects of the company. An act which is not authorised by the objects clause of the memorandum is *ultra vires*, i.e. beyond the company's powers as set out in its memorandum and void *ab initio*, and may not be remedied by any subsequent act of the shareholders.

Section 33A of the Companies Law, Cap. 113 ("Companies Law") attempted to do away with the *ultra vires* doctrine by providing that a company will be bound *vis-à-vis* third parties by acts or transactions of its officers, even if they do not fall within the objects of the company, provided that (i) the third party acted in 'good faith', and (ii) the acts in question do not exceed the powers prescribed by law, or which the law permits to be prescribed, to the officers concerned. Publication of the memorandum and articles does not in itself constitute sufficient proof of knowledge *vis-à-vis* the third party.

2.4 Are any governmental or other consents or filings, or other formalities (such as shareholder approval), required?

See question 3.9 below on stamp duty.

No governmental consents, filings or registration requirements are needed in order to grant a guarantee.

Whether a shareholder resolution is required is a matter for the articles of association of a company. In certain circumstances, shareholder approval may be required to whitewash any transactions which constitute prohibited financial assistance (see section 4 below) and/or to eliminate the risk of a transaction being rendered void for lack of corporate benefit (see question 2.2 above). More often than not, however, and irrespective of whether the articles of association require it, a shareholders' resolution will be put in place as a matter of good corporate practice.

Guarantees, being contracts, must comply with certain essential elements to ensure their validity and enforceability including an offer, an acceptance, the intention to create legal relations and consideration. Typically, the beneficiary of the guarantee must also provide consideration for the guarantor's promise (which may often prove difficult to demonstrate) and so to avoid a guarantee falling foul of contract law requirements for want of consideration, it is often executed as a deed.

2.5 Are net worth, solvency or similar limitations imposed on the amount of a guarantee?

No net worth, solvency or similar limitations are imposed on the amount of a guarantee. However, any guarantee given by a company should not exceed the value of the underlying obligation it secures given that the liability of a guarantor is co-extensive with (and

should therefore not be greater than) that of the principal debtor, unless otherwise provided by the contract.

Please also see question 8.2 below.

2.6 Are there any exchange control or similar obstacles to enforcement of a guarantee?

There are no exchange control restrictions to enforcement of a guarantee.

A guarantee may be subject to stamp duty in Cyprus. An unstamped guarantee may not be adduced as evidence in Cyprus court enforcement proceedings unless stamp duty fees (including any penalties for late payment) have been settled.

3 Collateral Security

3.1 What types of collateral are available to secure lending obligations?

Generally speaking, any type of asset may be encumbered or charged to secure lending obligations in Cyprus.

The most common forms of collateral are:

- immovable property (such as land and/or any building, structure or thing affixed to it);
- tangible movable property (chattels);
- financial instruments such as shares and debt securities (claims and receivables);
- cash; and
- intangible movable property, such as intellectual property.

3.2 Is it possible to give asset security by means of a general security agreement or is an agreement required in relation to each type of asset? Briefly, what is the procedure?

It is possible to give asset security by means of a general security agreement in the form of a single fixed and floating charge debenture over various asset classes owned by a chargor.

The debenture will standardly include a fixed charge over particular assets, thereby giving a chargee control over any dealings or disposals of a particular asset by the chargor. It will also include a floating charge in relation to that part of the chargor's asset pool which is less ascertainable from time to time and which confers on the chargee the right to deal with the assets subject to the floating charge in the ordinary course of business. A debenture will also generally extend to include any assignment of receivables and contracts as well as any mortgages on immovable property and shares.

Practically speaking, it is more common to have in place specific security agreements in relation to certain assets such as land and shares (see questions 3.3 and 3.6 below, respectively), with any other assets being caught by an all-encompassing debenture creating security over all asset classes owned by a charger; in this way, any additional statutory perfection requirements and formalities affecting the validity and enforceability of a particular security arrangement are more easily satisfied.

3.3 Can collateral security be taken over real property (land), plant, machinery and equipment? Briefly, what is the procedure?

Collateral security may be taken over plant, machinery and equipment by way of a fixed charge debenture.

In terms of real or immovable property, security is taken by way of a mortgage of the property in favour of the mortgagee, pursuant to the provisions of the Immovable Property (Transfer & Mortgage) Law, Law 9/1965, as amended; which requires, as a priority point, for the mortgage instrument to be deposited with the District Lands Office in the district where the relevant property is located. Upon registration, no subsequent transfer or further mortgaging of the mortgaged property is possible except with the mortgagees' prior consent.

3.4 Can collateral security be taken over receivables? Briefly, what is the procedure? Are debtors required to be notified of the security?

Collateral security over receivables is possible as either: (i) an assignment by way of security (subject the assignability of the receivables in question); or (ii) a fixed charge; or (iii) a floating charge (see question 3.2 above).

Cypriot law does not recognise the concept of a legal assignment and the assignment of a receivable, as a chose in action, will invariably take the form of an equitable assignment. Provided that the intention to assign has been notified, being both a perfection and priority requirement as against subsequent creditors, equity will recognise it. The assignment is effective only once notified to the assignee.

3.5 Can collateral security be taken over cash deposited in bank accounts? Briefly, what is the procedure?

It is possible to take collateral security over cash deposited in a Cyprus bank account by way of a fixed or floating charge.

It is common to take a fixed charge over a blocked deposit account with any withdrawals from that account by the chargor made possible only with creditor consent. On the contrary, a floating charge will be given over a trading account to circumvent the impracticability of lender consent each time outbound payments need to be made from the account. In this way, the chargor is given the flexibility to continue to use the account for ordinary business purposes until the occurrence of a trigger event (such as a default), at which time the floating charge will crystallise, and attach to all the relevant assets secured by it, including, in the case of bank account charges, any cash held in the chargor's account subject to the charge.

3.6 Can collateral security be taken over shares in companies incorporated in your jurisdiction? Are the shares in certificated form? Can such security validly be granted under a New York or English law governed document? Briefly, what is the procedure?

The creation of security over shares in a Cyprus company takes the form of a pledge of shares or fixed charge. The most commonly used mechanism is the share pledge which involves the physical delivery to the pledgee of the share certificates representing the pledged shares.

A pledge, as a possessory form of security, creates upon the execution of the relevant security instrument an equitable charge over the shares, and on delivery to the pledgee of the share certificate or certificates representing those shares, a legal charge over the share certificates themselves.

On the borrowers' default, the pledgee is afforded a common law right to sell the pledged assets without recourse to court, provided of course that the security instrument includes a mechanism enabling the pledgee to transfer the pledged shares (using certain

aids to enforcement of the pledge which are usually annexed to the charge instrument itself) without additional consent from the pledgor or other formalities or approvals. The aids to enforcement will often include: the original share certificates representing the pledged shares; undated blank instruments of transfer of shares duly executed by the Pledgor; a resolution of the board of directors of the company approving the pledging of the shares and the transfer of such shares on default; and waivers of pre-emption rights (if any).

Unless the terms of the security instrument provide otherwise, the pledgor remains the owner of the pledged shares throughout the life of the pledge and continues to enjoy the rights attaching to the shares in a manner which does not prejudice the rights of the pledgee, until and unless a default event occurs.

Section 138 of the Contract Laws of Cyprus, Cap. 149 as amended, prescribes the formalities required to create a valid and enforceable pledge over the shares of a Cyprus company, namely, it must be signed by the pledgor and made in the presence of two witnesses. Over and above these requirements, section 138(2) sets certain additional requirements for a pledge of shares to be valid and enforceable which include: (a) the giving of notice of pledge by the pledgee to the company in which the shares are pledged; (b) the company making a memorandum of such pledge in the register of shareholders against the shares in respect of which the notice is given; and (c) the subsequent delivery by the company of a certificate confirming (b) above.

Finally, security may also be taken over shares of public companies listed on the Cyprus Stock Exchange. As these shares are in dematerialised form, there will be no "pledge" of the share certificates as such but instead a charge created over the special account of a particular investors' share account which will be registered in the Central Securities Depository and Central Registry of the Cyprus Stock Exchange. A charge over dematerialised securities is valid from the moment of its registration. The requirements of section 138 of the Contract Law do not apply in the case of pledge of dematerialised securities.

Although the security could theoretically be governed by New York or English law, given that the subject matter of the pledge are shares of a Cyprus company, any transfer of those shares to the pledgee or some other third party on enforcement is subject to mandatory provisions of Cypriot law, and will be determined in light of the Companies Laws of Cyprus, as well as the memorandum and articles of association of the Cyprus company concerned.

3.7 Can security be taken over inventory? Briefly, what is the procedure?

Security over inventory usually takes the form of a fixed and floating charge debenture, although a floating charge is the most commonly used form of security due to the constantly fluctuating nature of the asset and the inability of the chargee to exercise control (as in the case of a fixed charge).

3.8 Can a company grant a security interest in order to secure its obligations (i) as a borrower under a credit facility, and (ii) as a guarantor of the obligations of other borrowers and/or guarantors of obligations under a credit facility (see below for questions relating to the giving of guarantees and financial assistance)?

A company may grant a security interest in order to secure its own obligations as borrower or to guarantee the borrowings of a third party. The provision of third party security by a company will,

however, be subject to corporate benefit, capacity, solvency and financial assistance issues – see questions 2.2, 2.5, 4.1 and 8.2.

3.9 What are the notarisation, registration, stamp duty and other fees (whether related to property value or otherwise) in relation to security over different types of assets?

Notarisation fees are not applicable in Cyprus.

The Registration fees that will apply in Cyprus are as follows:

(i) Under the Companies Law

Section 90 of the Companies Law provides that every charge (as well as every amendment, assignment or change to it) created by a Cyprus company and conferring security on the company's property or undertaking shall be void against the liquidator and any creditor of the company, unless the prescribed particulars of the charge and a certified copy of the instrument creating it, are delivered to the Registrar of Companies in Cyprus for registration within 21 days after the date of its creation. The prescribed period is extended to 42 days in the case of a charge created by a Cyprus company outside Cyprus, comprising property situated outside Cyprus. Section 90(2) provides an exhaustive list of categories of charge which are capable of registration.

Registration under section 90 of the Companies Law is not a priority point, but a perfection requirement. Registration has the effect of giving public notice of the security to third parties dealing with the company that the particular assets or part of the undertaking has been charged in in the chargee's favour. Failure to register will not affect the validity of the charge as between the parties to it *inter se*; however as mentioned earlier, registration will be necessary to render the security enforceable against any third party creditor or liquidator.

Registration of a charge will incur the payment of filing fees in the region of approx. €680 per charge registered.

Pledges of shares in a Cyprus company are specifically exempted from the ambit of section 90.

Similarly, agreements for the provision of financial collateral which fall within the within the ambit of the Financial Collateral Arrangements Law (Law 43(I) of 2004) are exempted from registration.

Other statutorily prescribed registration fees over specific assets:

Certain additional registration requirements apply in relation to charges over specific classes of assets. A legal mortgage over immovable property requires registration with the District Lands Office Land (see question 3.3). Registration fees of one thousandth of the amount secured are payable. A mortgage over a vessel or any share in a vessel is registered with the Department of Merchant Shipping, with registration fees dependent on the gross tonnage of the vessel (€0.034172 per gross tonne for the first 10,000 tonnes and half that rate above 10,000 tonnes).

(ii) Stamp Duty

Cyprus stamp duty is charged on 'documents' (i.e. agreements or contracts made in writing) relating to assets located in Cyprus and/or matters or things taking place in Cyprus. In general, agreements which do not involve assets situated in Cyprus are generally exempt from stamp duty; however, the final adjudicator on whether or not stamp duty is payable on any document, will be the Commissioner of Stamp Duties.

Stamp Duty is calculated on the value of the agreement and is capped to a maximum amount of €20,000 on the principal document. Any documents relating to the same transaction and which are considered ancillary to the principal document will incur a nominal rate of stamp duty.

Stamp Duty rates:

- €0–€5,000: nil.
- €5,001–€170,000: 0, 15%.
- Over €170,000: 0, 20%.

Stamp duty must be paid within 30 days from the date of the 'signing' of the relevant document. If for whatever reason the agreement is considered stampable and was not stamped, then a penalty will be payable. Failure to stamp a document which is subject to stamp duty does not invalidate the document of the acts contemplated thereby, but it cannot be adduced as evidence in enforcement proceedings brought before a Cyprus court unless the stamp duty and any penalties for late payment have been paid.

3.10 Do the filing, notification or registration requirements in relation to security over different types of assets involve a significant amount of time or expense?

Filing or registration fees are not significant (see question 3.9 above). In terms of timing, registration occurs upon filing which, in most cases, is a same-day procedure. A certificate of registration of charge (in the case of shares) may be issued by the Registrar of Companies within a matter of days after filing.

3.11 Are any regulatory or similar consents required with respect to the creation of security?

No regulatory or similar consents are needed, although if regulated entities are involved, they may be subject to additional requirements.

3.12 If the borrowings to be secured are under a revolving credit facility, are there any special priority or other concerns?

There are no special priority or other concerns if the borrowings to be secured are under a revolving credit facility.

3.13 Are there particular documentary or execution requirements (notarisation, execution under power of attorney, counterparts, deeds)?

There are specific statutory requirements and formalities that will need to be met in relation to the creation a pledge over shares in a Cyprus company pursuant to the Contract laws of Cyprus, Cap. 149, as amended. See further question 3.6 above.

In the case of deeds, it is no longer a requirement for these to be executed under seal; however if a company chooses to affix its common seal this must be done in accordance with the articles of association of the company.

4 Financial Assistance

4.1 Are there prohibitions or restrictions on the ability of a company to guarantee and/or give security to support borrowings incurred to finance or refinance the direct or indirect acquisition of: (a) shares of the company; (b) shares of any company which directly or indirectly owns shares in the company; or (c) shares in a sister subsidiary?

(a) Shares of the company

Section 53(1) of the Companies Law imposes a prohibition on Cypriot companies to give, whether directly or indirectly,

and whether by means of a loan, guarantee, the provision of security or otherwise, any financial assistance for the purpose of or in connection with a purchase or subscription of shares made, or to be made, by any person in the company or in its holding company.

The general prohibition is subject to certain permitted exceptions such as where the lending of money is part of the ordinary business of the company. Similarly, where an otherwise prohibited transaction has been whitewashed under 53(3), a private company may proceed in giving financial assistance without falling foul of the general prohibition imposed by section 53(1).

The whitewash mechanism requires that (i) the private company concerned is not a subsidiary of a public company registered in Cyprus, and (ii) the transaction has been approved (at any time) by a resolution passed by holders of 90% of all issued voting capital in the company acting in general meeting.

Apart from any action brought against a director for misappropriation of company funds, or breach of duty, any contravention of section 53 (1) will subject the company and every officer to a default fine.

- (b) Shares of any company which directly or indirectly owns shares in the company

Yes, see (a) above.

- (c) Shares in a sister subsidiary

No prohibition would apply in this scenario.

5 Syndicated Lending/Agency/Trustee/Transfers

- 5.1 Will your jurisdiction recognise the role of an agent or trustee and allow the agent or trustee (rather than each lender acting separately) to enforce the loan documentation and collateral security and to apply the proceeds from the collateral to the claims of all the lenders?**

As a common law jurisdiction, Cyprus law will recognise the role of a security agent or trustee who will hold the security over assets of the borrower on trust for the benefit of a pool of creditors. The duties and responsibilities of the security agent or trustee will be governed by the agency provisions in the loan instrument and the proceeds from enforcement of the loan or collateral security will be administered in accordance with the terms of the intercreditor agreement.

- 5.2 If an agent or trustee is not recognised in your jurisdiction, is an alternative mechanism available to achieve the effect referred to above which would allow one party to enforce claims on behalf of all the lenders so that individual lenders do not need to enforce their security separately?**

Not applicable – see question 5.1.

- 5.3 Assume a loan is made to a company organised under the laws of your jurisdiction and guaranteed by a guarantor organised under the laws of your jurisdiction. If such loan is transferred by Lender A to Lender B, are there any special requirements necessary to make the loan and guarantee enforceable by Lender B?**

There are no special requirements under the laws of Cyprus to make

the loan and guarantee enforceable by Lender B, subject to any requirements specified in the loan agreement having been met.

6 Withholding, Stamp and Other Taxes; Notarial and Other Costs

- 6.1 Are there any requirements to deduct or withhold tax from (a) interest payable on loans made to domestic or foreign lenders, or (b) the proceeds of a claim under a guarantee or the proceeds of enforcing security?**

Generally, Cyprus tax legislation does not provide for a withholding tax on interest payable on loans made to domestic or foreign lenders, or the proceeds of a claim under a guarantee or the proceeds of enforcing security.

- 6.2 What tax incentives or other incentives are provided preferentially to foreign lenders? What taxes apply to foreign lenders with respect to their loans, mortgages or other security documents, either for the purposes of effectiveness or registration?**

No specific tax incentives exist for foreign lenders. Generally, foreign lenders are not subject to Cyprus tax or subject to Cyprus withholding tax on any interest payments.

Cyprus Stamp Duty may be applicable on the loan documentation (see the response to question 3.9).

- 6.3 Will any income of a foreign lender become taxable in your jurisdiction solely because of a loan to or guarantee and/or grant of security from a company in your jurisdiction?**

A foreign lender is not subject to Cyprus tax solely because of a loan to or a guarantee or security given by a local company.

- 6.4 Will there be any other significant costs which would be incurred by foreign lenders in the grant of such loan/guarantee/security, such as notarial fees, etc.?**

There are no significant costs other than those described in question 3.9 above.

- 6.5 Are there any adverse consequences to a company that is a borrower (such as under thin capitalisation principles) if some or all of the lenders are organised under the laws of a jurisdiction other than your own? Please disregard withholding tax concerns for purposes of this question.**

Cyprus tax legislation does not specifically provide for thin capitalisation or similar rules.

7 Judicial Enforcement

- 7.1 Will the courts in your jurisdiction recognise a governing law in a contract that is the law of another jurisdiction (a “foreign governing law”)? Will courts in your jurisdiction enforce a contract that has a foreign governing law?**

The courts of Cyprus will recognise and give effect to a contractual

foreign choice of governing law in any action brought before a Cyprus court pursuant to the Rome I Regulation (Reg. (EC) No. 593/2008). The cornerstone of the Regulation is to enshrine the principle of party autonomy and flexibility in respect of choice of law. Where parties choose a foreign governing law which is not the law most closely connected with the contract (assuming this would otherwise be Cypriot law) the courts in Cyprus will tend to give effect to it subject to (i) such choice of foreign law being pleaded and proved, (ii) mandatory provisions of Cypriot law which cannot be derogated from by agreement (penal, revenue and court procedural rules), and (iii) laws which are manifestly inconsistent with public policy.

7.2 Will the courts in your jurisdiction recognise and enforce a judgment given against a company in New York courts or English courts (a “foreign judgment”) without re-examination of the merits of the case?

Recognition and enforcement of judgments given by New York courts:

There is no bilateral treaty between Cyprus and the USA on the enforcement of foreign judgments. Although a judgment of a New York court will be recognised under the Recognition, Enforcement and Execution of Foreign Judgments Law, Law No. 121(I)/2000, enforcement is not immediate. Section 5 of that law sets the procedural requirements to be followed, which commences by way of an application by summons accompanied by an affidavit. The hearing is set four weeks after the date of filing of the application and the respondent is given the right to file an objection (relating to jurisdictional matters and issues of substance).

Recognition and enforcement of judgments given by English courts:

The courts in Cyprus will recognise and enforce judgments issued by English courts in accordance with the Brussels I Regulation (Reg. (EC) No 44/2001) without any special procedure being required as to its recognition, this being an automatic process. Under the Regulation, a judgment given by the courts of an EU country may not be reviewed as to its substance although a court may refuse to recognise a judgment issued in another Member State under certain limited circumstances (e.g. where it is contrary to public policy). As soon as the judgment is recognised, the competent Cyprus court issues an order for its enforcement and the judgment will be executed as though issued by a Cyprus court.

7.3 Assuming a company is in payment default under a loan agreement or a guarantee agreement and has no legal defence to payment, approximately how long would it take for a foreign lender to (a) assuming the answer to question 7.1 is yes, file a suit against the company in a court in your jurisdiction, obtain a judgment, and enforce the judgment against the assets of the company, and (b) assuming the answer to question 7.2 is yes, enforce a foreign judgment in a court in your jurisdiction against the assets of the company?

The answer is specific to the facts and circumstances of each case and depends on the caseload of the court examining the matter.

7.4 With respect to enforcing collateral security, are there any significant restrictions which may impact the timing and value of enforcement, such as (a) a requirement for a public auction, or (b) regulatory consents?

No. Certain types of borrowers or assets may be subject to their own regulatory requirements and may need prior approval from their respective supervisory authorities.

In exercising the enforcement rights afforded to them under the relevant security documents, a secured creditor is obliged under common law to obtain a fair price when realising assets subject to security and to pay regard to the principle of unjust enrichment.

7.5 Do restrictions apply to foreign lenders in the event of (a) filing suit against a company in your jurisdiction, or (b) foreclosure on collateral security?

Foreign lenders can file a suit against a company in Cyprus and foreclose on collateral security without restriction.

7.6 Do the bankruptcy, reorganisation or similar laws in your jurisdiction provide for any kind of moratorium on enforcement of lender claims? If so, does the moratorium apply to the enforcement of collateral security?

Recent amendments to the Companies Law (Law 62(I) of 2015) have introduced a process of “examinership”. The amendments make provision for the appointment of a licensed insolvency practitioner as the “examiner” whose role is to examine the state of the company’s affairs and agree restructuring proposals with shareholders during a four-month moratorium, in which the company is considered to be under the protection of the court, and immune from creditor action. Such examiner is appointed pursuant to a petition filed at court and once the court deems that, *inter alia*, a company is unable to pay its debts (i.e. the net asset value of the company is negative, taking into account potential and future liabilities).

Additionally, a court can make an order authorising the examiner to dispose of assets subject to security pursuant to section 202H(1)(d) of the Companies Law if it is satisfied that it would be advantageous to do so. The relevant section provides that where any claim against the company is secured by a mortgage, charge, lien or other encumbrance or a pledge of, on or affecting the whole or any part of the property, no action may be taken to realise the whole or any part of that security, except with the consent of the examiner. Specifically in relation to floating charges an examiner may, by order of the Court, realise the charged property (as if it was not subject to the charge) if in doing so would be to facilitate the survival of the company concerned as a going concern. Any net proceeds from the sale of secured assets pursuant to this section are used first to repay the secured debt with any surplus being distributed among unsecured creditors.

Bankruptcy under the Bankruptcy Law, Cap 5 (as amended by Law 61(I)/2015):

Cypriot courts have the power (in accordance with Cap. 5) to order a 95-day moratorium on any enforcement action by creditors for the purpose of enabling a debtor to agree an arrangement (referred to as a “personal repayment plan”) with them. If the plan is approved by a 75% majority of creditors in value and is sanctioned by the court, the arrangement will be binding on the debtor and all creditors. Dissenting creditors are given a right to be heard in court.

7.7 Will the courts in your jurisdiction recognise and enforce an arbitral award given against the company without re-examination of the merits?

As a contracting state to the New York Convention on the Recognition and Enforcement of Foreign Arbitral Awards of 10 June 1958, a Cyprus court will enforce an arbitral award without re-examining the merits, provided that certain requirements as set out in the Convention have been met.

8 Bankruptcy Proceedings

8.1 How does a bankruptcy proceeding in respect of a company affect the ability of a lender to enforce its rights as a secured party over the collateral security?

The main provisions relating to corporate insolvency in Cyprus are contained in the Companies Law (sections 202–305 inclusive) as amended by Law No. 62(I)/2015. The lender's ability to enforce its rights as a secured party over the collateral security will invariably be affected by its inability to enforce the security during the protected period without the consent of the examiner – see question 7.7.

8.2 Are there any preference periods, clawback rights or other preferential creditors' rights (e.g., tax debts, employees' claims) with respect to the security?

Under section 301 of the Companies Law, any conveyance, mortgage, delivery of goods, payment, execution or other act relating to property made or done by or against a company within six months before the commencement of its winding-up, shall, within the context of a winding up, be considered a fraudulent preference against its creditors and invalid. In determining whether there is a fraudulent preference, the court looks at the dominant intention of giving the creditor a preference over other creditors coupled with a voluntary act made by the company. In establishing whether the intention to defraud existed, the burden of proof will rest with those asserting to avoid the transaction.

Section 303 of the Companies Law provides (in the context of a winding up) that a floating charge on the undertaking or property of the company created within 12 months of the commencement of winding-up shall, unless it is proved that immediately after the creation of the charge the company was solvent, be invalid. The onus of proof rests with the chargee.

Certain claims are treated preferentially in a winding up and will therefore rank ahead of debts secured by a floating charge; namely, the costs of the winding-up and preferential claims, which consist of all government and local taxes and duties due at the date of liquidation (due and payable within 12 months prior to that date) and where there are assessed taxes, taxes not exceeding one whole year's assessment; and all sums due to employees including wages, accrued holiday pay, deductions from wages and compensation for injury.

8.3 Are there any entities that are excluded from bankruptcy proceedings and, if so, what is the applicable legislation?

No, all companies registered in accordance with the Companies Laws will be subject to the insolvency provisions contained therein. Additional requirements will apply to certain regulated entities and companies which carry on business in one or more Member States who will be subject to the provisions of the EU Insolvency Regulation.

8.4 Are there any processes other than court proceedings that are available to a creditor to seize the assets of a company in an enforcement?

Out-of-court proceedings available to a creditor to seize the assets of a company in an enforcement include powers of sale, taking possession, appointment of a manager or receiver and appropriation of financial collateral. The most common practice is for a receiver to be appointed.

9 Jurisdiction and Waiver of Immunity

9.1 Is a party's submission to a foreign jurisdiction legally binding and enforceable under the laws of your jurisdiction?

A party's submission to a foreign jurisdiction is legally binding and enforceable under the laws of Cyprus. See the response to question 7.2 above.

9.2 Is a party's waiver of sovereign immunity legally binding and enforceable under the laws of your jurisdiction?

A party's waiver of sovereign immunity will be legally binding and enforceable under the laws of Cyprus.

10 Licensing

10.1 What are the licensing and other eligibility requirements in your jurisdiction for lenders to a company in your jurisdiction, if any? Are these licensing and eligibility requirements different for a "foreign" lender (i.e. a lender that is not located in your jurisdiction)? In connection with any such requirements, is a distinction made under the laws of your jurisdiction between a lender that is a bank versus a lender that is a non-bank? If there are such requirements in your jurisdiction, what are the consequences for a lender that has not satisfied such requirements but has nonetheless made a loan to a company in your jurisdiction? What are the licensing and other eligibility requirements in your jurisdiction for an agent under a syndicated facility for lenders to a company in your jurisdiction?

There are no eligibility requirements in Cyprus in respect of lenders to a Cyprus company.

A lender licensed in their home jurisdiction does not need to be additionally licensed in Cyprus in order to lend funds to a local company.

11 Other Matters

11.1 Are there any other material considerations which should be taken into account by lenders when participating in financings in your jurisdiction?

There are no special considerations that need to be borne in mind by lenders when participating in financings in Cyprus.

**Marinella Kilikitis**

E & G Economides LLC
30 Gr. Xenopoulou Street
3106 Limassol
(P.O. Box 58043, 3730 Limassol)
Cyprus

Tel: +357 25 866 480
Fax: +357 25866 481
Email: marinella.kilikitis@economideslegal.com
URL: www.economideslegal.com

Marinella has over 10 years of post-qualification experience in her chosen practice areas. Marinella started her career in the corporate and commercial department of one of the largest law firms in Cyprus. During her career Marinella has had the opportunity to advise extensively on a number of high-profile blue-chip transactions for a vast range of multinationals and magic-circle law firms.

Marinella specialises in cross-border mergers & acquisitions, joint ventures and corporate restructurings, corporate governance, banking and finance, financial services regulatory matters and equity capital markets where her experience has included advising both issuers and underwriters on IPOs as well as private placements. She has also been involved in a restructuring of existing debt facilities for one of the largest quarries in Cyprus (borrowers' side).

**George Economides**

E & G Economides LLC
30 Gr. Xenopoulou Street
3106 Limassol
(P.O. Box 58043, 3730 Limassol)
Cyprus

Tel: +357 25 866 480
Fax: +357 25866 481
Email: george.economides@economideslegal.com
URL: www.economideslegal.com

George focuses on tax, intellectual property, immigration and corporate and commercial law. He graduated from the University of Westminster and after completing his Bachelor's degree, he joined the audit and tax practice of a major Cyprus accountancy firm. He was later admitted to the Cyprus Bar Association and is currently a partner with E & G Economides LLC. He is a member of the Cyprus Bar Association and the Individual Tax and Private Client Committee of the International Bar Association. In addition to the above, George is also extensively involved in the firm's business development and marketing activities.



Economides
ADVOCATES & LEGAL CONSULTANTS

E & G Economides LLC, based in Limassol, specialises in Corporate & Commercial, Mergers & Acquisitions, Banking and Finance and Capital Markets work. Litigation, Financial Services and Private Client matters are also offered. With a team currently comprising over 20 advocates, the firm has continued to grow and expand its areas of practice with the support of an active network of global associates with long-standing ties to the corporate and financial communities.

The firm maintains a diverse client base and is regularly instructed by high-net-worth individuals, entrepreneurs, multinational corporations and tax firms, as well as global banking and credit institutions and both domestic and international transactions.

Our clients' interests often have a global focus and we are therefore frequently mandated to co-operate with international law firms on multijurisdictional projects and other cross-border engagements. Such assignments have been pivotal in yielding a globally minded perspective and have brought the firm in close co-operation with international law firms.

Other titles in the ICLG series include:

- Alternative Investment Funds
- Aviation Law
- Business Crime
- Cartels & Leniency
- Class & Group Actions
- Competition Litigation
- Construction & Engineering Law
- Copyright
- Corporate Governance
- Corporate Immigration
- Corporate Investigations
- Corporate Recovery & Insolvency
- Corporate Tax
- Data Protection
- Employment & Labour Law
- Enforcement of Foreign Judgments
- Environment & Climate Change Law
- Family Law
- Fintech
- Franchise
- Gambling
- Insurance & Reinsurance
- International Arbitration
- Litigation & Dispute Resolution
- Merger Control
- Mergers & Acquisitions
- Mining Law
- Oil & Gas Regulation
- Outsourcing
- Patents
- Pharmaceutical Advertising
- Private Client
- Private Equity
- Product Liability
- Project Finance
- Public Procurement
- Real Estate
- Securitisation
- Shipping Law
- Telecoms, Media & Internet
- Trade Marks
- Vertical Agreements and Dominant Firms



59 Tanner Street, London SE1 3PL, United Kingdom
Tel: +44 20 7367 0720 / Fax: +44 20 7407 5255
Email: info@glgroup.co.uk

www.iclg.co.uk